IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.392 OF 2006

Samir S/o. Rahim Khan & Ors. ....Petitioners.

V/s

State of Maharashtra .... Respondent.

Ms. Shubhangi Chavan i/b Mr. A.M. Sarogi for Petitioners.

Mr. Y.M. Nakhwa, APP for the State.

CORAM: V.M. KANADE, J.
DATE : 10th August, 2007

P.C.:

1. The learned Counsel appearing on behalf of Petitioners submits that she was informed that the matter has been settled. However, she has not yet received the written confirmation. She seeks two weeks time to take instructions.

2. In my view, no useful purpose will be served in adjourning the matter any further. It is an old matter of 2006. Hence, Petition is dismissed on the ground that it has become infructuous. Liberty, however, is granted to the learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioners to seek restoration of the Petition if, subsequently, it is learnt that the parties have not settled the matter.

3. Petition is disposed of with the above liberty.

(V.M. KANADE, J.)